

Relations, Functions and Mathematical Induction

1 Relations

An ordered collection of things is denoted with the brackets ' \langle , \rangle ' (e.g., $\langle \text{London, Paris} \rangle$). We can define this in terms of set theory such that $\langle x, y \rangle = \{x, \{x, y\}\}$.

The *Cartesian Product* of sets A and B is the set of all ordered pairs in which the first element is a member of A and the second is a member of B , which we denote with ' $A \times B$.' More formally, $A \times B = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x \in A \text{ and } y \in B\}$.

Example: Let $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{\text{red, blue}\}$. What is $A \times B$? $A \times A$?

A set is a *binary relation* just in case it contains only ordered pairs. We say that a binary relation R is *from* sets A to B just in case all ordered pairs within R contain a first element which is an element of A and a second element which is an element of B .

Proof: Prove that any binary relation from A to B is a subset of $A \times B$.

Suppose (for reductio) there were some binary relation R from A to B that was not a subset of $A \times B$. By definition, there is some element of R that is not an element of $A \times B$. Select such an element, and call it $\langle c, d \rangle$. By the definition of 'from,' c is an element of A and d is an element of B . However, this contradicts the claim that $\langle c, d \rangle$ is not an element of $A \times B$, which follows from the definition of cartesian products. Therefore, any binary relation from A to B is a subset of $A \times B$.

Let R be a relation:

The *domain* of $R = \{x : \text{there is some } y \text{ such that } \langle x, y \rangle \in R\}$

The *range* of $R = \{y : \text{there is some } x \text{ such that } \langle x, y \rangle \in R\}$

The *inverse* of $R = \{\langle x, y \rangle : \langle y, x \rangle \in R\}$

Example: Let $A = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x \text{ and } y \text{ are natural numbers between 1 and 10, and } x \text{ is one less than } y\}$. What is the domain, range and inverse of A ?

Let R be a relation on S (i.e., every $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ is such that both x and $y \in S$):

R is *reflexive* just in case, for all $x \in S$, $\langle x, x \rangle \in R$

R is *symmetric* just in case for all $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$, $\langle y, x \rangle \in R$

R is *asymmetric* just in case for no elements x and $y \in S$, both $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle y, x \rangle \in R$.

R is *antisymmetric* just in case for no distinct elements x and $y \in S$, both $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle y, x \rangle \in R$.

R is *transitive* just in case for all $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle y, z \rangle \in R$, $\langle x, z \rangle \in R$.

R is an *equivalence relation* just in case it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive

Example: Which of the following relations are reflexive, symmetric, asymmetric, antisymmetric, transitive and equivalence relations?

$A = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x \text{ is a parent of } y\}$

$B = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x \text{ is a natural number less than or equal to } y\}$

$C = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x \text{ is standing next to } y\}$

2 Functions

A binary relation R is a *function* just in case for all x, y, z , if $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $\langle x, z \rangle \in R$, then $y = z$.

Example: Which of the following relations are functions?

$R = \{\langle x, y \rangle : \text{person } x \text{ has the birthday } y\}$

$S = \text{The inverse of } R$

$T = \{\langle x, y \rangle : y = x + 1\}$

$U = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x < y\}$

$V = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle : z = x + y \}$

When the domain of a function f is a subset of A and the range is subset of B , we say that f is a function *from* A *into* B , which we denote ' $f : A \rightarrow B$.' We sometimes denote $\langle x, y \rangle \in f$ with ' $f(x) = y$.'

Function f is *one-to-one* just in case, for any x, y , if $f(x) = f(y)$, then $x = y$.

Example: Which of the following functions are one-to-one?

$A = f(x) = 2x + 5$

$B = \{\langle x, y \rangle : y \text{ is the firstborn child of } x\}$

$C = f(x) = \sqrt{x}$

3 Induction

An important proof-theoretic resource is induction. Proof by induction starts by defining a set inductively. The basic idea is that we start with a few basic elements, define a function

that generates one element from another, and consider the set of all such elements. Every element of this set is either a basic element, or generated from other elements.

A set A is *closed under f* just in case $f: U \rightarrow U, A \subseteq U$ and for any object $x, x \in A \rightarrow f(x) \in A$.

Example: Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $f(x) = x + 1$. Are the following sets closed under f ?

$$A = \emptyset$$

$$B = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 100\}$$

$$C = \{100, 101, 102, \dots\}$$

$$D = \{x : x \text{ is a natural number}\}$$

Set A is $(B, \{f\})$ -*inductive* just in case $B \subseteq A$ and A is closed under f .

Example: Which of the following sets are (\emptyset, f) -inductive?

$$A = \emptyset$$

$$B = \{100, 101, 102, \dots\}$$

$$C = \{x : x \text{ is a natural number}\}.$$

Let $C = \cap \{A : A \text{ is } (B, F)\text{-inductive}\}$ where B is a set and F is a set of functions. For example, the set of natural numbers can be defined as $\cap \{A : A \text{ is } (\{0\}, \{f\})\text{-inductive}\}$.

Example: Define the set of (positive) even numbers inductively:

Suppose we want to prove that every natural number has a property Φ . Because we've defined the set of natural numbers inductively, we can show this by proving two things:

i) $\Phi(0)$

ii) For all $x, \Phi(x) \rightarrow \Phi(x + 1)$

Proof: Prove that every natural number is finitely large.

(Basis Case): Show that the elements of the base set all have the property in question.

In this case, 0 is finitely large.

(Inductive Step): We assume that a number k has the property in question (being finitely large). This assumption is called the *inductive hypothesis*. We need to show that $k + 1$ also has this property. This step requires two things: spelling out the inductive hypothesis, and showing that it holds for $k + 1$.

IH: Assume that k is finitely large.

Because $k + 1$ is one more than k , so if k is finitely large, then so is $k + 1$. From the inductive hypothesis, we have that k is finitely large, so $k + 1$ is as well.

Therefore, every natural number is finitely large.

Practice Problems

1. Let $R = \{ \langle a, b \rangle, \langle a, c \rangle, \langle b, d \rangle, \langle b, e \rangle, \langle c, f \rangle, \langle c, g \rangle \}$

a) What is the domain of R ?

b) What is the range of R ?

c) What is the inverse of R ?

2. Prove that a relation R is symmetric if and only if $R =$ The inverse of R .

3. For every natural number n , $0 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$