

**Course and Instructor Evaluation Summary
Department of Political Science**

Elgin, Samuel Zincke
POLI 110F - Critical Reasoning&Consumption (A)
Winter Quarter 2022

Number of Students Enrolled: 12
Number of Evaluations Submitted: 5

PLEASE COMMENT ON THE FOLLOWING:

1. Your class level is

0 (0.0%): Freshman
0 (0.0%): Sophomore
2 (40.0%): Junior
3 (60.0%): Senior
0 (0.0%): Graduate
0 (0.0%): Extension
0 (0.0%): Visitor

2. Your reason for taking this class is

4 (80.0%): Major
0 (0.0%): Minor
0 (0.0%): Gen. Ed.
0 (0.0%): Elective
1 (20.0%): Interest

3. What grade do you expect in this class?

5 (100.0%): A
0 (0.0%): B
0 (0.0%): C
0 (0.0%): D
0 (0.0%): F
0 (0.0%): P
0 (0.0%): NP

GENERAL QUESTIONS

4. I learned a great deal from this course.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
2 (40.0%): Agree
3 (60.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. How many hours a week do you spend studying outside of class on average?

0 (0.0%): 0-1
0 (0.0%): 2-3
1 (20.0%): 4-5
1 (20.0%): 6-7
2 (40.0%): 8-9
1 (20.0%): 10-11
0 (0.0%): 12-13
0 (0.0%): 14-15
0 (0.0%): 16-17
0 (0.0%): 18-19
0 (0.0%): 20 or more

6. How often do you attend this course?

0 (0.0%): Very Rarely
0 (0.0%): Some of the Time
5 (100.0%): Most of the Time

COURSE MATERIAL POLI 110F

7. The course material is intellectually stimulating.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
1 (20.0%): Agree
4 (80.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

8. Assignments promote learning.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
1 (20.0%): Agree
4 (80.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

9. Required reading is useful.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Agree
5 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

10. This course is difficult relative to others.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
2 (40.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
2 (40.0%): Agree
1 (20.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

11. Exams are representative of the course material.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (20.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
1 (20.0%): Agree
3 (60.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

12. Do you recommend this course overall?

5 (100.0%): Yes
0 (0.0%): No

13. Course POLI 110F:

- It's a very useful and mind-shaping course. It does involve a lot of reading materials, but the content is very useful, and the class relies heavily on the discussion of the readings.
- the class provide materials that are relevant to learning of what is needed to be critical of arguments to scientific journalism

14. Exams/Quizzes/Papers:

[No Responses]

15. Reading [title(s) and comments]:

[No Responses]

INSTRUCTOR Samuel Elgin

16. Instructor displays a proficient command of the material.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Agree
5 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

17. Instructor is well prepared for classes.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Agree
5 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

18. Instructor's speech is clear and audible.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Agree
5 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

19. Instructor explains the course material well.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Agree
5 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

20. Lectures hold your attention.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
3 (60.0%): Agree
2 (40.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

21. Instructor's lecture style facilitates note-taking.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (20.0%): Disagree
1 (20.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
1 (20.0%): Agree
2 (40.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

22. Instructor shows concern for students' learning.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
2 (40.0%): Agree
3 (60.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

23. Instructor promotes appropriate questions/discussion.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
2 (40.0%): Agree
3 (60.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

24. Instructor is accessible outside of class.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
2 (40.0%): Agree
3 (60.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

25. Instructor starts and finishes class on time.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
1 (20.0%): Agree
4 (80.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

26. Instructor is effective in promoting academic integrity.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
1 (20.0%): Agree
4 (80.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

27. The instructor practiced effective teaching strategies that acknowledged and valued differences among students, including differences of race and gender identity.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
2 (40.0%):	Agree
3 (60.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

28. Instructor Samuel Elgin:

- Very good instructor who has a genuine passion for teaching and getting to know students personally
- Amazing professor. He always asks questions so that students have opportunities to provide their own input. He is nice. He attempts to make the class engaging which is good.

29. Do you recommend this professor overall?

5 (100.0%):	Yes
0 (0.0%):	No

Custom Question 5

30. Please provide examples of the ways the instructor did or did not create a learning environment that welcomed, challenged, and supported all students.

- his presentation assignment lead the student to apply the material to the real world which then makes them learn the material more

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Political Science, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.